

Organic Wastewater Contaminant Levels in Canal Waters Entering Biscayne National Park and the Potential for Concordant Endocrine Disruption in the Resident Biota



Tim Bargar - Southeast Ecological Science Center, U.S. Geological Survey, Gainesville, FL, USA

David Alvarez – Columbia Environmental Research Center, U.S. Geological Survey, Columbia, MO, USA

Adam Foster – Florida Water Science Center, U.S. Geological Survey, Ft. Lauderdale, FL, USA

Kevin Whelan – South Florida/Caribbean Inventory Monitoring Network, National Park Service, Miami, FL, USA

ABSTRACT

A component of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan is rehydration of the coastal wetlands adjacent to Biscayne Bay (Bay). The plan was to simply divert water from adjacent canals into the wetlands, but it was determined that the canals had an insufficient water volume to adequately rehydrate the wetlands throughout the year. As a result, water managers are planning to use treated wastewater from the South District Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) to supplement the canal waters. However, treated wastewater could adversely impact biota in the coastal wetlands and in the Bay. Even though treatment of water entering the WWTP reduces organic wastewater contaminant (OWC) concentrations in the effluent, it still contains detectable OWC concentrations. Before the planned rehydration of the wetlands begins (~2012), Biscayne National Park (Park) wants to understand the existing threats to its resources from OWCs. During September of 2009, passive samplers (Polar Organic Chemical Integrative Sampler [POCIS] and Semi-Permeable Membrane Devices [SPMD]) were deployed at the mouths of nine different canals where they enter into the Bay and at three locations within the Bay for the purpose of determining OWC introduction into and presence within the Bay prior to the planned wetland rehydration. Those samplers were retrieved approximately 30 days after deployment and analyzed for OWCs. In addition, extracts from a subset of the POCIS from each location were subjected to the Yeast Estrogen Screen to determine the estrogenicity of the chemical mixture in the aquatic system. Data from this pilot study will give an indication of the background OWC levels in the Bay and Park as well as the potential for adverse impacts to the aquatic organisms due to any detected contamination.

METHODS

- Twelve passive samplers (<u>Polar Organic Chemical Integrative Sampler [POCIS]</u> and <u>Semi-Permeable Membrane Devices [SPMD]</u>) were deployed between September 21 and 25 (interior bay locations) of 2010 at the locations shown in **Figure 1** and then retrieved approximately 30 day later.
- Extracts from the SPMDs were analyzed for polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and organochlorines, PCBS, and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) while extracts from the POCIS were analyzed for wastewater chemicals and agrochemicals (**Table 1**).
- Extracts from one of the POCIS samplers at each location were subjected to the Yeast Estrogen Screen (YES) to assess the estrogenicity of the chemical mixture extracted from the surface waters by the POCIS (Alvarez et al. 2008).

Table 1. Analyte List and Method Detection Limits (pg/L) for the Different Analyses Performed on the SPMD and POCIS Extracts.

	Waste Water Chemicals	MDL	Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons	MDL	Organochlorines, PCBs, PBDEs	MDL	Agrochemicals	MDL
	Tetrachloroethylene	0.8	Naphthalene	2200	Trifluralin	19.0	EPTC	1.10
	Bromoform	0.7	Acenaphthylene	150	Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)	1.8	Desisopropylatrazine	0.63
	Isopropylbenzene (cumene)	0.9	Acenaphthene	230	Pentachloroanisole (PCA)	8.1	Desethylatrazine	1.50
	Phenol	2.0	Fluorene	85	Tefluthrin	32.0	Trifluralin	1.40
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3.6	Phenanthrene	140	alpha-Benzenehexachloride (a-BHC)	4.8	Atraton	0.48
	d-Limonene	1.0	Anthracene	68	Lindane	6.9	Simazine	0.33
	Acetophenone	42.0	Fluoranthene		beta-Benzenehexachloride (b-BHC)	68.0	Prometon	0.11
	para-Cresol	20.0	Pyrene		Heptachlor	1.0	Atrazine	0.29
	Isophorone	0.3	Benz[a]anthracene	10	delta-Benzenehexachloride (d-BHC)	2.8	Propazine	0.30
	Triethyl phosphate	11.0	Chrysene	9	Dacthal	38.0	Terbuthylazine	0.25
	Camphor	1.0	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	10	Chlorpyrifos	88.0	Fonofos	1.20
=	Menthol	1.1 0.9	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	11 11	Oxychlordane	0.9 26.0	Diazinon Metribuzin	0.16 3.50
	Methyl salicylate Dichlorvos	3.3	Benzo[a]pyrene Indeno[i,2,3-c,d]pyrene	11 44	Heptachlor Epoxide trans-Chlordane	0.0	Acetochlor	0.17
	Isoquinoline	3.3 4.2	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	37	trans-Nonachlor	18.0	Methyl Parathion	0.17
	Indole	0.8	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	41	o,p'-DDE	9.1	Simetryn	1.60
	Cashmeran (DPMI)	92.0	Benzo[b]thiophene	530	cis-Chlordane	1.2	Alachlor	0.20
	N,N-diethyltoluamide (DEET)	160.0	2-methylnaphthalene	280	Endosulfan	22.0	Ametryn	0.82
	Diethyl phthalate	450.0	1-methylnaphthalene	200	p,p'-DDE	34.0	Prometryn	0.52
	p-tert-Octylphenol	1.4	Biphenyl	44	Dieldrin	33.0	Terbutryn	0.41
	Benzophenone	20.0	1-ethylnaphthalene	18	o,p'-DDD	3.7	Malathion	28.00
	Tributyl phosphate	1.3	1,2-dimethylnaphthalene	22	Endrin	28.0	Metolachlor	0.16
e	Ethyl citrate	2.6	4-methylbiphenyl	230	cis-Nonachlor	14.0	Chlorpyrifos	1.30
	Cotinine	1.0	2,3,5-trimethylnaphthalene	22	o,p'-DDT	0.9	Dacthal	1.20
	Celestolide (ADBI)	1.3	1-methylfluorene	150	p,p'-DDD	17.0	Pendimethalin	1.30
	Prometon	0.2	Dibenzothiophene	34	Endosulfan-II	160.0	Fipronil	1.20
	Phantolide (AHMI)	1.5	2-methylphenanthrene	72	p,p'-DDT	57.0		
	4-Octylphenol	8.6	9-methylanthracene	10	Endosulfan Sulfate	32.0		
	Tri(2-chloroethyl) phosphate	0.2	3,6-dimethylphenanthrene	25	p,p'-Methoxychlor	14.0		
	N-butyl benzenesulfonamide	1.1	2-methylfluoranthene	9	Mirex	130.0		
	Tris-(2-chloropropyl)phosphate	6.5	Benzo[b]naphtho[2,1-d]thiophene	10	cis-Permethrin	61.0		
	Diazinon	0.2	Benzo[e]pyrene	12	trans-Permethrin	17.0		
	Tris-(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl)phosphate Musk Ambrette	6.8 6.0	Perylene 3-methylcholanthrene	10 18	Total PCBs PBDE-28	23.0 54.0		
	Carbazole	1.0	3-methylcholantinene	10	PBDE-47	64.0		
	Caffeine	1.7			PBDE-99	44.0		
	Traseolide (ATII)	1.4			PBDE-100	31.0		
	Galaxolide (HHCB)	0.3			PBDE-153	26.0		
	Tonalide (AHTN)	0.3						
	Musk Xylene	1.2						
п	Carbaryl	5.1						
	Metalaxyl	6.5						
	Bromacil	5.2						
	Anthraquinone	5.2						
	Musk Ketone	6.4						
	Chlorpyrifos	1.3						
	Triclosan	5.7						
	methyl triclosan	1.2						
	Tri(dichloroisopropyl) phosphate	6.8						
	Tri(butoxyethyl) phosphate	3.1						
	Triphenyl phosphate	1.4						
	Tris-(2-ethylhexyl)phosphate	93.0						
	Diethylhexylphthalate (DEHP)	2700	İ				Ī.	







Figure 1

RESULTS / DISCUSSION

- Based on the total number of detected contaminants, waters in the C-111 canal were the most contaminated while the least contaminated locations were the Central and North Bay locations (Table 2). The South Bay location was more contaminated relative to the Central and North Bay locations.
- Majority of agricultural chemicals detected by the POCIS are herbicides registered for use in agriculture, horticulture, and turf.
- Very few waste water chemicals were detected indicating low waste water contribution to Biscayne Bay. The C-1 canal, which passes the South Dade Wastewater Treatment Plant, did not have a considerable wastewater contaminant load relative to the other canals. The wastewater chemicals detected were primarily synthetic fragrances and flame retardants.
- No contaminant concentrations exceeded water quality criteria (WQC) (Florida or USEPA), if WQC were available for the contaminant. No WQC are available for many contaminants.
- **Figure 2** shows the number of contaminants detected at each location. The locations in Figure 2 have been arranged to separate the canals that either pass through or are adjacent to agricultural areas (C-111, C-103, Military, C-12, C-1) from those that do not (C-100, C-2, C-3). There is a greater pesticide presence in waters of the "agricultural" canals.
- Results from the YES indicated estrogenicity at every location at which the POCIS were deployed (**Figure 3**). The estrogen equivalence (as ng 17β-estradiol per liter) ranged from 1 to 17.4 ng/L. Superimposed on the figure are effect levels for fish as reported in the literature for synthetic (ethynylestradiol) and natural estrogens (17β-estradiol). Based on these data, endocrine disruption in fish is likely. Not shown are YES results for the field blanks, which were negative for estrogenicity
- **Figure 4** shows contaminant prevalence at each location in relation to estrogenicity. No relationship was evident between estrogenicity and the contaminants assayed in the POCIS extracts. The greatest estrogenicity was for samples from the Central and North Bay locations where contaminant detections were least. Analytes that were not assessed for the POCIS were the steroid hormones, which may be responsible for the detected estrogenicity.
- Overall aquatic contamination is quite low (parts per trillion to parts per quadrillion) and is below any regulatory levels. However, estrogenicity of the contaminant mixture from the POCIS deployed at all of the locations indicates the possibility of endocrine disruption in fish in these areas.







REFERENCES


- Alvarez, DA, WL Cranor, SD Perkins, RC Clark, and SB Smith. 2008. Chemical and toxicological assessment of organic contaminants in surface water using passive samplers. Journal of Environmental Quality V37:1024-1033.

 Lange, R., T.H. Hutchinson, C.P. Croudace, F. Siegmund, H. Schweinfurth, P. Hampe,
- Lange, R., T.H. Hutchinson, C.P. Croudace, F. Siegmund, H. Schweinfurth, P. Hampe, G.H. Panter, and J.P. Sumpter. 2001. Effects of the synthetic estrogen 17α -ethynylestradiol on the life-cycle of the fathead minnow (*Pimephelas promelas*). Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry V20:1216-1227.
- Seki, M., H. Yokota, M. Maeda, and K. Kobayashi. 2005. Fish full life-cycle testing for 17β-estradiol on medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry V24:1259-1266.
 Young, W.F., P. Whitehouse, I. Johnson, and N. Sorokin. 2002. Proposed predicted-

surface waters. R&D Technical Report P2-T04/1, Environment Agency.

no-effect-concentrations (PNECs) for natural and synthetic steroid oestrogens in

Tris-(2-chloropropyl)phosphate



					2014	-de drap				-		
Table 2 . Analyte concentra	ations* (pg	g/L - pa	rts per quadr	illion)	detected by	the SPMD and POCI	S sampl	ers.				
	South Bay	C-103	C-1 upstream	C-2	Military canal	C-1 Black Point Marina	C-3	C-102	C-111	C-100	Central Bay	North Ba
				Po	olynuclear Aromatic	: Hydrocarbons						
					,							
1,2-dimethylnaphthalene 1-ethylnaphthalene	210 -	-	-	- -	- -	1400 310	-	-	-	<u>50</u> -	-	-
1-methylfluorene	<u>390</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>180</u>	-	-	-
1-methylnaphthalene 2,3,5-trimethylnaphthalene	<u>400</u> 620	-	-	<u>-</u>	790 240	1400 3800	-	-	320 350	<u>280</u> <u>46</u>	-	-
2-methylfluoranthene	-	-	-	-	-	- -	65	-	280	170	-	-
2-methylnaphthalene	<u>630</u>	-	-	-	780	2100	-	<u>340</u>	<u>540</u>	<u>420</u>	-	<u>320</u>
2-methylphenanthrene 3,6-dimethylphenanthrene	1100 320	-	- -	-	4900 480	6100 1500	<u>140</u> <u>62</u>	-	320 200	<u>160</u> <u>59</u>	- -	-
4-methylbiphenyl	-	-	-	-	-	1100	<u>-</u>	-	<u>290</u>	<u>-</u>	-	-
9-methylanthracene Acenaphthene	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- E20	<u>14</u> -	-	-
Anthracene	- -	- -	-	- -	-	3500	<u>110</u>	- -	<u>530</u> 470	-	-	- -
Benz[a]anthracene	-	-	-	-	-	330	101	-	360	290	-	-
Benzo[a]pyrene Benzo[b]fluoranthene	- -	-	-	<u>12</u> <u>23</u>	-	-	130 230	<u>-</u>	220 650	190 710	-	-
Benzo[b]naphtho[2,1-d]thiophene	-	-	-	<u></u>	-	-	<u>19</u>	-	190	140	-	-
Benzo[e]pyrene	-	-	-	<u>16</u>	-	-	190	-	740	710	-	-
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene Benzo[k]fluoranthene	-	- -	- -	<u>-</u> <u>18</u>	-	- -	130 210	-	220 490	240 460	- -	-
Biphenyl	-	-	-	-	<u>160</u>	450	<u>52</u>	-	<u>140</u>	<u>46</u>	-	-
Chrysene Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	260 -	<u>37</u> -	<u>31</u> -	<u>30</u> -	610 -	1700 -	330 -	<u>45</u> -	1600 <u>38</u>	1400 -	-	58 -
Dibenzothiophene	260	-	<u>37</u>	-	-	2500	-	-	140	<u>-</u> <u>35</u>	-	-
Fluoranthene	780	<u>100</u>	150	<u>61</u>	1200	3400	1000	200	4400	2500	160	200
Fluorene Indeno[i,2,3-c,d]pyrene	-	-	-	-	-	- -	- <u>95</u>	-	440 220	- 190	-	-
Perylene	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>55</u> -	-	120	-	-	-
Phenanthrene	1200 510	- 72	- 110	- 62	3800 680	6400 3100	<u>230</u> 1000	<u>150</u> <u>120</u>	1000 3500	470 2700	- 65	<u>190</u>
Pyrene	210	<u>73</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>63</u>	000	3100	1000	120	3500	2700	<u>65</u>	<u>110</u>
				Orga	anochlorine Pestici	des, PCBs, PBDEs						
Chila man mife a							120		200	100		
Chlorpyrifos cis-Chlordane	-	- 14	<u>-</u> <u>1.7</u>	-	<u>-</u> 22	- 11	<u>120</u> 35	- 15	280 39	<u>100</u> -	- -	- 3.9
cis-Nonachlor	120	-	<u>-</u>	-	<u>16</u>		-	-	<u>28</u>	<u>19</u>	-	-
delta-Benzenehexachloride (d-BHC)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- F.C	-	35	-	-
Dieldrin Endosulfan	130	<u>34</u> <u>103</u>	230	-	<u>-</u> <u>110</u>	- 290	<u>79</u> -	<u>56</u> 490	<u>95</u> 720	-	<u>100</u>	- -
Endosulfan Sulfate	450	-	-	-	300	-	-	-	=	-	420	-
Endosulfan-II Endrin	-	-	<u>310</u> -	-	- 74	<u>250</u> -	-	<u>370</u> -	620	1800	-	-
Heptachlor	- -	<u>-</u> <u>4.1</u>	- -	-	74 12	- 8.0	<u>1.8</u>	<u>-</u> <u>3.1</u>	- 13	6.7	- -	- -
Heptachlor Epoxide	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>34</u>	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene (HCB) Lindane	14 130	-	-	-	<u>4.8</u>	5.1 -	<u>3.1</u>	-	22	-	4.9 150	-
Mirex	-	-	-	-	550	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
o,p'-DDD	17	14	-	-	-	<u>11</u>	-	-	100	46	-	-
o,p'-DDT Oxychlordane	<u>-</u> 2.1	32 -	- -	- -	80 -	54 -	38 -	33 -	-	17 -	<u>-</u> 2.0	52 -
p,p'-DDD	<u>20</u>	-	-	-	<u>20</u>	-	-	-	<u>31</u>	-	-	-
p,p'-DDE	-	<u>60</u>	-	-	- 99	-	61	62	170 140	- 61	-	-
p,p'-DDT p,p'-Methoxychlor	<u>34</u>	- -	- -	-	-	- -	-	<u>69</u> -	-	<u>61</u> -	- -	- -
Pentachloroanisole (PCA)	31	-	-	-	<u>9.9</u>	-	34	-	25	-	<u>12</u>	-
trans-Chlordane trans-Nonachlor	-	10	1.0	-	6.9 -	8.7 -	12	8.5	- 67	-	-	23
Trifluralin	- -	<u>25</u> -	- -	-	- -	- -	-	<u>19</u> -	<u>22</u>	- -	-	- -
Total PCBs	<u>500</u>	-	-	-	600	<u>280</u>	960	-	880	1400	-	-
PBDE-28 PBDE-47	- 320	-	-	- -	- <u>75</u>	- -	-	- -	<u>67</u> 160	-	-	- 320
PBDE-99	130	-	-	-	<u>75</u> -	-	<u>51</u>	-	150	<u>81</u>	-	-
PBDE-100 PBDE-153	<u>34</u> 87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>38</u>	-	-	-
PRDE-123	87	-	-	_	-			-	-		-	
					Agricultural Ch	nemicals						
Ametryn		2 4							ງ 0	17		
Ametryn Atraton	-	2.4 2.4	- <u>0.52</u>	-	0.8	- -	-	-	2.8 2.2	<u>1.7</u> 0.91	-	-
Atrazine	-	7.3	8.2	3.9	0.8 2.5	-	-	-	2.0	<u>-</u>	-	-
Chlorpyrifos Dacthal	-	- 12	-	-	-	-	- <u>2.6</u>	-	- 2.6	-	<u>-</u>	-
Desethylatrazine	- -	4.6	4.7	-	- -	- -	<u> </u>	-	2.6 3.2	-	- -	-
Desisopropylatrazine	-	5.2	<u>1.5</u>	-	-	-	- -	-	3.1	-	-	-
Metolachlor Metribuzin	-	2.8 <u>4.5</u>	0.85 -	-	- -	- -	<u>0.76</u> -	<u>-</u> 16	1.7 <u>5.6</u>	0.83	- -	-
Prometon	-	3.3	0.96	-	1.0	-	-	-	<u>3.6</u>	1.2	-	-
Prometryn	-	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.5	<u>1.1</u>	-	-
Propazine Simazine	-	2.7 3.9	- -	-	- -	- -	-	-	0.83 3.0	-	-	-
Simetryn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>2.6</u>	-	-	-
Terbuthylazine Terbutryn	-	3.0 2.4	-	-	<u>-</u> <u>0.7</u>	- -	-	-	0.72 2.8	-	-	-
					<u>5.7</u>							
					Waste Water C	hemicals						
Bromoform	69	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	-	43	89
Cashmeran (DPMI)	-	-	-	-	<u>170</u>	350	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cholesterol d-Limonene	<u>79</u> -	360 -	-	-	- 26	<u>74</u> -	<u>83</u> -	-	-	-	-	360 -
Galaxolide (HHCB)	-	4.5	5.2	3.7	<u>0.95</u>	-	3.2	-	-	<u>1.3</u>	-	2.6
Indole Tris-(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl)phosphate	- -	-	- -	- 150	- -	41 -	-	-	-	9.6 -	- -	-
(+) and more a propyriphiosphiate				±00								

* Bolded numbers reflect quantifiable concentrations whereas underlined numbers reflect detections between the MDL and the practical quantification limit.